# Modular Next Generation Fast Neutron Detector for Portal Monitoring

E. Aboud,<sup>1</sup> S. Ahn,<sup>1</sup> G.V. Rogachev,<sup>1</sup> V.E. Johnson,<sup>3</sup> J. Bishop, <sup>1</sup> G. Christian, <sup>1</sup> E. Koshchiy,<sup>1</sup> C.E.Parker, <sup>1</sup> D.P Scriven <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843 USA <sup>2</sup>Departments of Chemistry and Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130 USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843 USA

Total detector size: 50cmx50cmx25cm

### **BACKGROUND:**

- Special nuclear materials (SNMs) such as HEU and WGPu have nonspontaneous fissioning primary components, <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>239</sup>Pu respectively.
- Current neutron portal monitoring methods rely on neutron thermalization for detection.



Figure 1: Example of a portal monitor via polimaster.

#### **MCNP6 SIMULATIONS:**

- MCNP6 [1] simulations replicate ambient neutron background and realistic sources.
- Simulations have been done with <sup>235</sup>U+n for the proposed detector and <sup>252</sup>Cf for a <sup>3</sup>He detector as well as the proposed detector.

#### **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:**

- Uniformly most powerful Bayes tests (UMPBTs) [2] were used to define tests for positive identification.
- MCNP6 simulations of ambient neutron background power UMPBTs to determine the sensitivity limitations of our detector.

References:

[1] T. Goorley, et al., "Initial MCNP6 Release Overview", Nuclear Technology 180, pp 298-315 (Dec 2012).

[2] V.E. Johnson, "UNIFORMLY MOST POWERFUL BAYESIAN TESTS", Annals of Statistics (2013)

[3] R. T. Kouzes et al., Passive neutron detection for interdiction of nuclear material at borders, NIM A (2008)



**Figure 3:** Comparison of neutron detection efficiency of the proposed detector and commercially-available detectors studied in Kouzes *et al.* [3]. Values marked with a dagger were taken from Kouzes *et al.* 

| Detector Type                                   | Efficien |
|---|----------|
| Proposed Detector - Without UMPBT               |          |
| <sup>3</sup> He proportional detector (1 Tube)  | 3        |
| BF <sub>3</sub> proportional detector (3 tubes) | 3        |
| Boron-lined proportional detector               | 3        |
| Lithium-loaded glass fibers                     | 1        |
| Coated non-scintillating plastic fibers         | 2        |
|   |          |



**Figure 4:** Confidence levels in the form 1 in gamma, i.e. gamma=10<sup>6</sup> corresponds to a confidence level of 1 in 10<sup>6</sup>, which were calculated for a <sup>3</sup>He detector (green) and the proposed detector using the UMPBT model (black). The confidence levels were derived from sets of 50 MCNP simulations with and without a source present. Comparison of the confidence levels shows a sensitivity comparison of the proposed detector to a standard <sup>3</sup>He detector.

## **CONCLUSION:**

- Without moderation, the proposed detector can identify a source emitting approx. 500 n/s (8.1 mg) in 25 minutes, similarly approx. 5000 n/s (80.7 mg) in 192 seconds.
- The National Committee on Radiation Instrumentation set an efficiency requirement of 2.5 cps/ng. A helium-3 detector [3] has an efficiency of 3.0(2) cps/ng.
- The proposed detector has an estimated efficiency of 26.8, after size corrections.
- With UMPBTs, the proposed detector achieves significantly greater positive detection confidence levels than a helium-3 detector [3].



Nuclear Solutions Institute











UNIVERSITY-BASED RESEARC